Query Enrichment Approach- Pseudo relevance feedback

Pseudo relevance feedback (PRF) is a type of technique where the user is not prompted to identify the relevant documents; the system simply assumes that the top ranked documents are relevant. The expansion terms generated by pseudo-relevance feedback will depend on the whole query, since they are extracted from documents ranked highly for that query, but the quality of the expansion will be determined by how many of the top-ranked documents in the initial ranking are in fact relevant.

Reasons for the choice of query enrichment:

* It doesn’t require user intervention.
* The words that occur more frequently in the relevant documents are used to expand the query which will result in getting better results.
* It is found to increase the recall and precision values.

Algorithm/Approach used:

* Pseudo relevance model was run on the BM25 scores for the queries as it had higher Mean Average Precision (MAP) value compared to other baselines.
* Initially a query Q was considered and the top 5 documents obtained.
* The most commonly occurring words in those documents were considered after removing the stop words provided in the common\_words file.
* Different number of top k documents and top n frequently occurring combinations were considered and evaluated.

According to ‘Magdy W. and G. J. F. Jones. A Study on Query Expansion Methods for Patent Retrieval PAIR 2011 - CIKM 2011’ paper. It was stated that the best evaluations scores were found when 5 most frequently considered words were considered from top 5 documents

Trial 1:

Criteria- Three most frequently occurring words in top 5 documents were considered.(K=3,n=5)

* MAP: 0.358
* MRR: 0.572

Trial 2:

Criteria: Five most frequently occurring words in top 5 documents were considered(K=5,n=5)

* MAP: 0.424
* MRR: 0.673

The evaluation clearly backed the claim made in the paper and hence we considered the second trial’s criteria in the project. Further increasing the number of words will broaden the search and may not do well against all queries. However, it did not produce results that were better than the BM25 model without pseudo relevance feedback.

Further improvement: Words like 'cacm', 'jb', '1978' were added for majority of the queries. These might be considered as the stop words for the corpus and not included in the expansion.

Citation:

<http://doras.dcu.ie/16517/1/A_Study_on_Query_Expansion_Methods_for_Patent_Retrieval.pdf>

Snippet Generation

Successful interaction with the user depends on user’s understanding of the results. Thus many techniques have been used to display the results that are easier to understand.

Steps:

* Top ranked documents from BM-25 were considered for snippet generation.
* We obtained the sentences from the raw documents by splitting based on full stops. Only the valid sentences are considered.
* We have used the Luhn’s approach to rank each sentence in the document using a significant factor.
* The formula used to calculate the significant factor score for a sentence is:

The significance factor for a sentence is computed by dividing the square of the number of significant words in the sentence by the total number of words in it. Here, the words that are appearing

